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Applications

Dynamic Collision Detection

Evaluating Safety Tolerances

CAD
Previous Work

• Polyhedral Objects:
  – [Gilbert,Johnson,Keerthi88] (GJK)
  – [Cohen,Lin,Manocha,Ponamgi95] (I-Collide)
  – [Cameron97] (Enhanced GJK)
  – [Mirtich97] (V-Clip)
  – [Larsen,Gottschalk,Lin,Manocha99] (PQP)
  – [Kawachi,Suzuki00]
  – [Ehmann,Lin01] (Swift++)

• Curved Objects:
  – [Zhou,Sherbrooke,Patrikalakis93]
  – [Limaiem,Trochu95]
  – [Johnson,Cohen98] (LUB-Tree)
  – [Turnbull,Cameron89]
  – [Thomas,Turnbull,Ros,Cameron00]
Conics, Quadrics and Quadratic Complexes

- **Quadratic Complexes** are polyhedra with faces embedded on quadrics and conics as edges.
- A **quadric** is given by an algebraic equation of degree 2:
  \[
  \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^T Ax + 2a^T x + a_0 = 0\},
  \]
  for a vector \(a \in \mathbb{R}^3\) and symmetric matrix \(A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}\).
- A **conic** is explicitly given as the following point set:
  \[
  \{p \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid p = c + r(t)u + s(t)v\},
  \]
  where \((r, s) \in \{(\cos, \sin), (\cosh, \sinh), (\text{id}, \text{id}^2), (\text{id}, 0)\}\) and \(u, v \in \mathbb{R}^3\) with \(u^T v = 0\).
Example of a Quadratic Complex
Example of a Quadratic Complex
Example of a Quadratic Complex
Some Limitations

- Typical CAD-operations on circular profile curves lead to torus patches:

  - Revolving
  - Tubing

- The class of quadratic complexes is not closed under BOOLEAN-operations:

  - Union (same radii)
  - Union (different radii)
The Distance Computation Problem

Definition 1 (Distance Computation Problem)
Given two quadratic complexes $C_1, C_2$. The distance computation problem is to determine the global minimum of the distance function $\delta$ between the respective point sets, together with a pair of witness points i.e.

(i) the value $\delta^* := \delta(C_1, C_2)$,

(ii) a pair of points $(p, q)$, s.t. $\delta^* = \delta(p, q)$,

where $\delta$ denotes the (quadratic) Euclidean distance function between two points or set of points, respectively.
Closest Points Between Faces

Let $f_1$ and $f_2$ be disjoint faces of quadratic complexes that are embedded on the quadratic surfaces $Q_1$ and $Q_2$, where

\[
Q_1 := \{ x \mid x^\top Ax + 2a^\top x + a_0 = 0 \},
\]

\[
Q_2 := \{ y \mid y^\top By + 2b^\top y + b_0 = 0 \}.
\]

If $(p_1, p_2)$ is a pair of closest points between $f_1$ and $f_2$, then either

(i) $(p_1, p_2)$ is an extremum of the distance function between $Q_1$ and $Q_2$, i.e. there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ s.t.

\[
\mathbf{n}(p_1) = \alpha(p_2 - p_1) \quad \mathbf{n}(p_2) = \beta(p_1 - p_2),
\]

where $\mathbf{n}(p_i)$ denotes the normal of $Q_i$ in $p_i$, or

(ii) $p_1$ or $p_2$ lies on the boundary of the face $f_1$ or $f_2$, respectively.
Distance Between Quadric Patches (Case I)
Distance Between Quadric Patches (Case II)
A Generic Algorithm

**EntityDistance**($E_1, E_2$)

1. $[\text{isDisjoint}, (p_1, p_2)] \leftarrow \text{Intersect}(E_1, E_2)$
2. if $\text{isDisjoint} = \text{false}$
3. return $[0, (p_1, p_2)]$
4. $\delta_G \leftarrow \infty$
5. while $[\delta, (q_1, q_2)] \leftarrow \text{Extrema}(E_1, E_2)$
6. if $(q_1 \in E_1) \text{and} (q_2 \in E_2)$
7. if $\delta < \delta_G$
8. $\delta_G \leftarrow \delta$, $(p_1, p_2) \leftarrow (q_1, q_2)$
9. foreach subentity $E$ of $E_1$
10. $[\delta, (q_1, q_2)] \leftarrow \text{EntityDistance}(E, E_2)$
11. if $\delta < \delta_G$
12. $\delta_G \leftarrow \delta$, $(p_1, p_2) \leftarrow (q_1, q_2)$
13. foreach subentity $E$ of $E_2$
14. $[\delta, (q_1, q_2)] \leftarrow \text{EntityDistance}(E_1, E)$
15. if $\delta < \delta_G$
16. $\delta_G \leftarrow \delta$, $(p_1, p_2) \leftarrow (q_1, q_2)$
17. return $[\delta_G, (p_1, p_2)]$
Degree Complexity of the Polynomial Systems

Theorem 1 (General Quadratic Complexes)
• The distance between two faces of quadratic complexes can be computed by solving systems of univariate and bivariate polynomials in which the degree of every variable is at most 6.

• These systems can be solved by finding the roots of univariate polynomials of a degree of at most 24.

Theorem 2 (Natural Quadratic Complexes)
The distance between two faces embedded on natural quadrics and trimmed by natural conics can be computed by solving univariate polynomials of a degree of at most 8.

Remark 1 (Torus)
If one extends the classes by the torus, the results remain valid. The distance to any other surface or curve can be computed by considering its main circle.
Overview of the Approach

- Point–Curve
- Point–Surface
- Curve–Curve
- Curve–Surface
- Surface–Surface

Lagrange–formalism:
Deriving univariate / bivariate systems

Elimination Theory:
Reducing to univariate resultant polynomial

Geometrical, Algebraical Insight:
Factorization

Univariate Polynomials to solve
The Surface-Surface Case

By setting up the LAGRANGE formalism for the problem

\[ \min (x - y)^2, \quad x \in Q_1, y \in Q_2 \]

we get the LAGRANGE function \( L \) and conditions (i), \ldots, (iv):

\[
L(x, y; \alpha, \beta) = (x - y)^2 + \alpha(x^T Ax + 2a^T x + a_0) + \beta(y^T By + 2b^T y + b_0)
\]

(i) \( \frac{\partial L(.)}{\partial x} = 0 \iff \alpha(Ax + a) = y - x \)

(ii) \( \frac{\partial L(.)}{\partial y} = 0 \iff \beta(By + b) = x - y \)

(iii) \( \frac{\partial L(.)}{\partial \alpha} = 0 \iff x^T Ax + 2a^T x + a_0 = 0 \)

(iv) \( \frac{\partial L(.)}{\partial \beta} = 0 \iff y^T By + 2b^T y + b_0 = 0 \)
Solving the Lagrange System

By setting $\lambda := 1/\alpha$ and $\mu := 1/\beta$ we can derive from (i) and (ii):

$$x = -(BA + \lambda B + \mu A)^{-1}(Ba + \lambda b + \mu a) =: -\frac{\bar{C}_{\lambda,\mu}}{|C_{\lambda,\mu}|}c_B,$$

$$y = -(AB + \lambda B + \mu A)^{-1}(Ab + \lambda b + \mu a) =: -\frac{\bar{C}_{\lambda,\mu}^T}{|C_{\lambda,\mu}|}c_A,$$

where $\bar{C}_{\lambda,\mu}$ and $|C_{\lambda,\mu}|$ are (matrix) polynomials in $\lambda$ and $\mu$.

Substituting $x$ and $y$ in (iii) and (iv) and multiplying my the denominator, gives the system:

$$f(\lambda, \mu) = c_B^T\bar{C}_{\lambda,\mu}^T A\bar{C}_{\lambda,\mu} c_B - 2|C_{\lambda,\mu}| a^T\bar{C}_{\lambda,\mu} c_B + a_0|C_{\lambda,\mu}|^2 = 0,$$

$$g(\lambda, \mu) = c_A^T\bar{C}_{\lambda,\mu}^T B\bar{C}_{\lambda,\mu} c_A - 2|C_{\lambda,\mu}| b^T\bar{C}_{\lambda,\mu}^T c_A + b_0|C_{\lambda,\mu}|^2 = 0,$$
The Inverse of $C_{\lambda,\mu}$

Lemma 1  
*The adjoint and determinant of $C_{\lambda,\mu} = BA + \lambda B + \mu A$ is given by*

\[
\overline{C_{\lambda,\mu}} = \overline{B}\lambda^2 + \overline{A}\mu^2 + T_A\overline{B}\lambda + \overline{A}T_B\mu + (T_B T_A - T_{AB})\lambda \mu + \overline{A}\overline{B},
\]

\[
|C_{\lambda,\mu}| = |B|\lambda^3 + |A|\mu^3 + |B|tr(A)\lambda^2 + |A|tr(B)\mu^2 + |B|tr(\overline{A})\lambda + |A|tr(\overline{B})\mu + tr(\overline{BA})\lambda^2 \mu + tr(\overline{AB})\lambda \mu^2 + (tr(\overline{A})tr(\overline{B}) - tr(\overline{AB}))\lambda \mu + |A||B|,
\]

*where $T_M := tr(M)E - M$ for a matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times3}$.***

Proposition 1 (*Bivariate Degree Complexity*)  
The polynomials $f$ and $g$ have degree 6 in $\lambda$ as well as $\mu$. Moreover the total degree of $f$ and $g$ is also 6.

Corollary 1 (*BEZOUT*)  
The degree of the resultant polynomial $\text{Res}(f, g)$ is at most 36.
Factorization of the Resultant Polynomial

Lemma 2
Let \( f = g = 0 \) be our system of polynomial equations, i.e.

\[
    f(\lambda, \mu) = c_B^T \overline{C}_{\lambda, \mu}^TC_{\lambda, \mu}^T A \overline{C}_{\lambda, \mu}^T c_B - 2|C_{\lambda, \mu}|a^T \overline{C}_{\lambda, \mu}^T c_B + a_0|C_{\lambda, \mu}|^2 = 0,
\]

\[
    g(\lambda, \mu) = c_A^T \overline{C}_{\lambda, \mu}^T B \overline{C}_{\lambda, \mu}^T c_A - 2|C_{\lambda, \mu}|b^T \overline{C}_{\lambda, \mu}^T c_A + b_0|C_{\lambda, \mu}|^2 = 0,
\]

and the system \( h \) be defined as follows:

\[
    h(\lambda, \mu) := (h_1, h_2, h_3)^T = \overline{C}_{\lambda, \mu} c_B = 0.
\]

Then the common roots of the polynomials \( r_{ij} := \text{Res}(h_i, h_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq 3 \), solve \( \text{Res}(f, g) \) with multiplicity 4.

Proposition 2 (Degree Complexity)
Let \( p \) denote the polynomial given by the common roots of \( r_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3 \), and their multiplicities in \( \text{Res}(f, g) \). Then the remaining polynomial \( \text{Res}(f, g)/p \) is of a degree of at most 24.
# General Conics and Quadrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Curve</th>
<th>Non-Central Surface</th>
<th>Central Surface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Point</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Curve</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Central Surface</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central Surface</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Natural Conics, Quadrics and the Torus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Curve</th>
<th>Non-Central Surface</th>
<th>Central Surface</th>
<th>Torus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Point</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curve</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Central Surface</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Surface</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

Theoretical point of view:

• We have presented an exhaustive analysis of the distance computation problem between conics, quadrics and the torus.

• We use a uniform formalism for studying all special cases.

• The degree results are provably optimal for the case of extended natural quadratic complexes.

Practical point of view:

• In contrast to standard numerical techniques the algebraic approach guarantees to find all extrema of the distance function.

• Interval methods break down due to numerical difficulties and singularities of the occurring bivariate polynomial systems.

• Using floating-point arithmetic the approach shows sufficient numerical stability as well as suitability for real-time applications.

• Inaccurate solutions can be efficiently polished using NEWTON iterations.